



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DA	TE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/527,775 03/14/2005)5 _	Adrianus Van Bezooijen	NL 020886	1786	
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590	07/03/2007		EXAMINER		
NXP INTELL	ECTUAL PRO	CHAN, RICHARD				
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	Z DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95131				2618		
					···	
0				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				07/03/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/527,775	VAN BEZOOIJEN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Richard Chan	2618		

	Richard Chan	2618					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	-						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comparting the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since				
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); educing or simplifying					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		•					
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 							
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		ill be entered and an	explanation of				
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ills to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after ϵ	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by Please See Continuation Sheet.		in condition for allowa	ince because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. Other:	,	•					

Continuation of 11: With respect to applicant's arguments regarding claim 1 and 12, the applicant states that Camp reference fails to correspond to all the claimed limitations, specifically the limitation directed to performing a comparison of the output voltage to produce a control signal and using the control signal to operate the output unit below its saturation level.

However the examiner points the applicant to Fig.1, wherein the power detetor 42 measures the output power of the power amplifier 32 with module 42 labeled "Power Detector". The reading is than translated to digital using ADC 44. The value of the ADC is than converter by conversion module 46, which is than compared and calculated with module 48. This value is than compared with the Magnitude Function module. The result is than modified by correction table 34, which than modifies the amplitude of the system. (Col.3 line 60-67)

With respect to applicant's arguments regarding claim 4 and 15, the applicant states that the Chen reference fails to disclose an adaptation of the matching circuits 32, 33, and 34 wherein the adapting the drive level of the RD power output unit to operate the RF output below its saturation for perserving linearity of the power amplifier.

However the examiner points the applicant to Col.2 line 57-65. The Chen reference discloses wherein the matching circuits input a signal to the power detector, which in turn send detection signals "DS...". The DS signals are the input to the bias control circuit which 50, which control the operation environment for the amplifiers. The examiner than points the applicant to the Chen Passage Col.2 line 41-44) wherein the description of the object of the invention is specified "Thus, the object of the invention is to achieve a linear control by way of detecting the power outputted from the first and second stages."

Richard Chan Art Division 2618 6/20/07

> NAY MAUNG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER